

Message

From: Quast, Sylvia [Quast.Sylvia@epa.gov]
Sent: 3/5/2019 2:32:54 AM
To: Stoker, Michael B. [stoker.michael@epa.gov]; Leopold, Matt (OGC) [Leopold.Matt@epa.gov]; Fotouhi, David [Fotouhi.David@epa.gov]; Ross, David P [ross.davidp@epa.gov]; Grantham, Nancy [Grantham.Nancy@epa.gov]
CC: Strauss, Alexis [Strauss.Alexis@epa.gov]; Munoz, Charles [munoz.charles@epa.gov]; Blake, Ellen [Blake.Ellen@epa.gov]; Ebbert, Laura [Ebbert.Laura@epa.gov]
Subject: Re: Rosemont Mine application, Arizona response

ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGED AND WORK PRODUCT; DO NOT RELEASE UNDER FOIA

Hi HQ Colleagues -

Attorney Client / Attorney Work Product / Deliberative Ex. 5

Thanks,
Sylvia

Sent from my iPhone

On Mar 4, 2019, at 9:01 PM, Stoker, Michael B. <stoker.michael@epa.gov> wrote:

Good with me Sylvia. Thx.

Michael Stoker
EPA Regional Administrator-Region 9
Cell: **Personal Matters / Ex. 6**

On Mar 4, 2019, at 5:50 PM, Quast, Sylvia <Quast.Sylvia@epa.gov> wrote:

ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGED AND WORK PRODUCT; DO NOT RELEASE UNDER FOIA

Attorney Work Product / Attorney Client / Deliberative Ex. 5

Attorney Work Product / Attorney Client / Deliberative Ex. 5

Sent from my iPhone

On Mar 4, 2019, at 6:25 PM, Stoker, Michael B. <stoker.michael@epa.gov> wrote:

Sylvia,
Remember to have someone in your office respond to this and copy me.

Michael Stoker
EPA Regional Administrator-Region 9
Cell Personal Matters / Ex. 6

Begin forwarded message:

From: Stu Gillespie <sgillespie@earthjustice.org>
Date: March 1, 2019 at 1:58:24 PM PST
To: "stoker.michael@epa.gov" <stoker.michael@epa.gov>
Cc: Heidi McIntosh <hmcintosh@earthjustice.org>
Subject: FW: Rosemont Mine application, Arizona

Dear Mr. Stoker –

I appreciated the opportunity to briefly speak with you over the phone. I realize that you were travelling, and thus have followed up with my concerns (as you instructed) in the email below.

I represent the Tohono O’odham Nation, Pascua Yaqui Tribe, and Hopi Tribe in regards to the proposed Rosemont open-pit copper mine that would be located on the Tribes’ ancestral homelands in the Santa Rita Mountains near Tucson, Arizona. Late yesterday evening, you informed the Tribes that you did not plan to exercise your authority to elevate the U.S. Army Corps’ decision to grant a 404 permit for the mine for EPA Headquarters’ level review. If you do not exercise your authority to elevate the permit, the Corps can promptly issue the permit to Rosemont, resulting in significant adverse impacts to aquatic resources and profoundly impacting historic resources of deep cultural, religious, and spiritual importance to the Tribes.

The Tribes respectfully request the opportunity for meaningful government-to-government consultation with you regarding this monumental decision. Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) imposes an obligation on federal agencies to consult with tribal nations before a decision is made on a federal undertaking. *See Muckleshoot Indian Tribe v. U.S. Forest Serv.*, 177 F.3d 800, 805 (9th Cir. 1999). The purpose of consultation with Indian tribes under the NHPA is to ensure that all types of historic properties and all public interests in such properties are given due consideration. *See Te-Moak Tribe v. U.S. Dep't of Interior*, 608 F.3d 592, 609 (9th Cir. 2010). The EPA acknowledges its trust obligations to tribes and the need for meaningful consultation to "assure that tribal concerns and interests are considered *whenever* EPA's actions and/or decisions may affect" tribes. *See* EPA Policy on Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribes (May 4, 2011), 2 (emphasis added).

You have not, however, engaged in any consultation with tribal leaders regarding your decision to not elevate the 404 Permit for higher review. Your email yesterday evening was too little too late. It did not provide tribal leaders with an opportunity to respond, let alone engage in consultation. Indeed, your email suggests that you had made your decision, before even reaching out to the tribes. I thus respectfully request that you seek an extension of time to the 15-day period to review the proposed permit so that you can meaningfully consult with tribal leaders regarding the significant impacts of the proposed Rosemont mine on aquatic, cultural, religious, spiritual, and historic properties. This consultation process is essential to determine whether or not to elevate the permit, which could result in the EPA denying, restricting, or withdrawing the proposed disposal site for dredge and fill material.

I look forward to your prompt response regarding this critical and time-sensitive matter.

Sincerely,
Stuart Gillespie

From: "Strauss, Alexis"
<Strauss.Alexis@epa.gov>
Date: February 28,
2019 at 7:21:10 PM EST
To:

"Stephen.Lewis@gric.nsn.us"
<Stephen.Lewis@gric.nsn.us>,
"robert.valencia@pascuayaqui-nsn.gov"
<robert.valencia@pascuayaqui-nsn.gov>,

Personal Matters / Ex. 6

"jrusell-winiecki@yan-tribe.org" <jrusell-winiecki@yan-tribe.org>, "bball@ak-chin.nsn.us" <bball@ak-chin.nsn.us>,
"Dale.Ohnmeiss.DEQ@gric.nsn.us"
<Dale.Ohnmeiss.DEQ@gric.nsn.us>,
"chonyumptewa@hopi.nsn.us"
<chonyumptewa@hopi.nsn.us>,
"stautolo@pascuayaqui-nsn.gov"
<stautolo@pascuayaqui-nsn.gov>,
"christopher.horan@srpmic-nsn.gov"
<christopher.horan@srpmic-nsn.gov>,
"loretta.stone@scat-nsn.gov"
<loretta.stone@scat-nsn.gov>,
"cornelius.antone@tonation-nsn.gov"
<cornelius.antone@tonation-nsn.gov>,
"BrendaPusher-Begay@wmat.us"
<BrendaPusher-Begay@wmat.us>,
"dlewis@yan-tribe.org"
<dlewis@yan-tribe.org>,
"jennifer.heminokeky@fortsillapache-nsn.gov"
<jennifer.heminokeky@fortsillapache-nsn.gov>,
"thora@mescalerodrm.p.org"

<thora@mescalero.drm
p.org>,
"tammy.parker@ashiwi
.org"
<tammy.parker@ashiwi
.org>

Cc: "Stoker, Michael B."
<stoker.michael@epa.gov>,
"Munoz, Charles"
<munoz.charles@epa.gov>

**Subject: Rosemont
Mine application,
Arizona**

Dear Governor Lewis,
Chairman Valencia,
Chairperson Rambler,
Chairwoman Russell-
Winiiecki, and Tribal
EPA Directors Ball,
Ohnmeiss,
Honyupmtewa,
Tautolo, Horan, Stone,
Antone, Pusher-Begay,
Lewis, Heminokeky,
Padilla and Parker,

As Regional
Administrator, I send
you my respectful
greeting, and regret the
need to reach you in
this more impersonal
manner. I am presently
away from the Regional
Office, but wanted to
convey some time-
sensitive
information. Our US
EPA Regional Office has
received from the U S
Army Corps of
Engineers its Notice Of
Intent to issue a Clean
Water Act permit for
the proposed
Rosemont Mine in
Arizona.

I'm addressing this to
you as in prior years the
U. S. Forest Service had

consulted with the twelve tribal governments listed here as it prepared an Environmental Impact Statement for this proposal. Per a 1992 Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) between the EPA and Army Corps regarding Clean Water Act permitting, EPA is given 15 days to review the proposed permit. I have decided not to elevate this matter for further review.

I am providing you with this update today given the brief time provided to EPA in the above-noted MOA. Should you wish to discuss this further, please call me at (213) 215-3104, or email me at stoker.michael@epa.gov and we can set up a mutually convenient time to talk.

Sincerely yours,
Mike Stoker

Michael Stoker
Regional Administrator
E.P.A. Region 9
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105